

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration of this application in light of the above amendments and following comments is courteously solicited.

Claims 10-8 which were rejected by the examiner as being anticipated by the Engeler patent have been cancelled, without prejudice.

Method claims 8 and 9 were not rejected over any prior art reference.

Claims 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. The terms "in particular" and "for example" were, in the examiner's opinion indefinite terms. Applicant by the instant amendment has amended claim 8 to delete the aforesaid terms. Accordingly, independent claim 8 and dependent claim 9 which depends directly therefrom comply with the formal requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In light of the fact that there is no prior art rejection of claims 8 and 9, the instant application is now in condition for allowance and an early indication of same is respectfully requested.

An earnest and thorough attempt has been made by the undersigned to resolve the outstanding issues in this case and place same in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or feels that a telephone or personal interview would be helpful in resolving any outstanding issues which remain in this application after consideration of this amendment, the Examiner is courteously invited to telephone the undersigned and the same would be gratefully appreciated.

It is submitted that the claims as amended herein patentably define over the art relied on by the Examiner and

early allowance of same is courteously solicited.

If any fees are required in connection with this case, it is respectfully requested that they be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Gregory P. LaPointe #28395/  
Gregory P. LaPointe  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No.: 28,395

Telephone: 203-777-6628  
Telefax: 203-865-0297

Date: April 10, 2008